

Application of the Finesse glass bioreactor for fermentation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Nadezda Perepelitsa, Stephan C. Kaiser and Dieter Eibl

Zurich University of Applied Sciences, School of Life Science and Facility Management, Institute of Biotechnology,
Biochemical Engineering and Cell Cultivation Techniques, Switzerland

Summary

This application note describes the cultivation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells in the Finesse glass vessel bioreactor with a maximum working volume of 2.0 L. Using the chemically defined medium D, it was possible to produce up to 5.33 g·L⁻¹ of dry biomass from 40 g·L⁻¹ glucose. The cells were grown under aerobic conditions with a specific growth rate up to 0.4 h⁻¹ and a yield coefficient for the biomass of 0.13 g cdw·g gluc⁻¹.

Introduction

The novel stirred SmartGlass bioreactor which is suitable for benchtop scale mammalian cell cultures and microbial fermentations has recently been introduced by Finesse Solutions, Inc. (see Fig. 1). The bioreactor, with a maximum working volume of 2.0 L, is controlled by the Finesse TruViu RDPDmini controller, an Intel-based micro-controller with the capability and robustness of an industrial automation solution. It is operated via the Finesse TruBio PC software developed on a C#/.NET framework with state-of-the-art graphics, database management, and real-time control algorithms. It also includes pre-configured parameters for controlling bioprocesses, such as pH, DO, temperature, agitation etc.

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast can metabolize glucose both aerobically and anaerobically, although the chosen method does affect the efficiency of metabolization (1). Aerobic metabolism requires the provision of sufficient mixing and aeration.

The scope of the study was to demonstrate the viability of the bioreactor for growing a yeast strain under aerobic conditions. The combination of a modified Rushton turbine

(bottom-mounted) and a three-bladed segment impeller with a blade angle of 45° (top-mounted) was tested to examine any effect this might have on oxygen mass transfer and cell growth. Some modifications were made compared to standard mammalian cell cultivation processes. To improve mixing three baffles were used. A cooling coil (cold finger), which was controlled via an external thermostat, was installed to reduce the temperature inside the vessel in order to counteract excessive heat production.



Fig. 1: Picture of the novel glass bioreactor together with Finesse TruViu RDPDmini control system.

Materials and methods

Medium

The chemical defined medium D with glucose concentration of $40 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ was used for both inoculum production and cultivation (2). During the cultivation process, emulsion C (Sigma-Aldrich, $10 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) was added as an antifoam agent.

Inoculum preparation

The inoculum production was performed in baffled shake flasks (5 x 500 mL total volume). Several single colonies of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were transferred from agar plates (casein soya bean digest agar) into the shake flasks by use of an inoculation loop. The shake flasks were incubated in a shaking incubator (Infors HT, Switzerland) at $34 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and 140 rpm (50 mm amplitude) for about 20 hours.

Bioreactor preparation

The pH sensor was calibrated using pH 4.01 and pH 7.0 buffers (Mettler Toledo, Germany). DO and pH probes were installed in the vessel and it was filled with 800 mL PBS before sterilization (30 min, $121 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$). After sterilization, the DO probe was calibrated for 0 and 100% air saturation.

Subsequently, the bioreactor was placed in a laminar flow cabinet and two bottles, one with sterile NaOH solution (1M) and the other with antifoam agent (Emulsion C, $10 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$), were connected to the bioreactor via LuerLock connections. The PBS solution used for sterilization was removed by means of a peristaltic pump and the bioreactor was then filled with fresh medium (1.9 L). A single-useclave sampling port was also installed.

Inoculation procedure

To achieve the desired initial biomass concentration of $0.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ dry biomass, the cell suspensions from the shake flasks were pooled in four sterile plastic bottles with total volumes of approximately 200 mL, before being centrifuged for 15 minutes at 5000 rpm (Eppendorf 5417C). The supernatant was removed; the cell pellet was re-suspended in approximately 10 mL fresh medium and pooled in a single plastic bottle. A sample was taken to measure the inoculum cell density using the NucleoCounter® NC-3000 (ChemoMetec, Denmark).

The required inoculum volume was added to the bioreactor in a sterile working cabinet via a funnel. The funnel was then rinsed with additional medium to bring the total volume to the desired initial volume of 2 L. Afterwards, the bioreactor was reconnected to the control unit and the control loops were started. The main cultivation parameters are shown below.

Culture conditions

Culture volume:	2 L
Agitation speed:	500 rpm
pH value:	5.9
pH regulation:	sodium hydroxide (1 M)
Temperature:	$34 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (heating blanket)
Air flow rate:	1.15 slpm (sparger)
Initial biomass:	$0.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
Cultivation time:	7.5 hours

Sampling and analysis

Samples of at least 6 mL were taken every 30 minutes using sterile syringes connected to theclave sampling port. Analysis of the biomass concentration and total cell density were performed by means of photometrical measurements using a Novaspec II photometer (Pharmacia Biotech) at 620 nm and a NucleoCounter NC-3000 (chemometec, Denmark) respectively. The substrate and metabolite concentrations (glucose, ammonia and lactate) were analyzed using a Bioprofile 300 (Nova biomedical, USA). The glucose and ethanol concentrations were also analyzed by HPLC (Shimadzu, Japan) using an RID detector. Finally, the oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations in the bioreactor exhaust air were analyzed by a BlueInOne gas analyzer (BlueSens, Germany).

Results

The initial cell density was $15.5 \cdot 10^6$ cells·mL⁻¹, corresponding to a dry cell weight of 0.5 g·L⁻¹. The cells grew exponentially with a mean growth rate of 0.38 h⁻¹, corresponding to a doubling time of 1.8 h. No lag-phase was observed. These values were comparable to literature data for the growth of *S. cerevisiae* on glucose (3, 4). After about 7 h, when the main substrate glucose had been completely consumed, the dry biomass concentration in the bioreactor was about 5.8 g·L⁻¹, corresponding to a cell density of $180 \cdot 10^6$ cells·mL⁻¹ (see Fig. 2). Thus, 5.3 g·L⁻¹ dry biomass was produced and the yield coefficient for the biomass was 0.13 g cdw·g gluc⁻¹.

As expected, the carbon dioxide concentration in the off-gas decreased rapidly, while the oxygen concentration slightly increased when the glucose substrate had been completely consumed (see Fig. 3). Besides biomass, ethanol and acetate were also produced from the glucose. The maximum concentrations of ethanol and acetate were about 13 g·L⁻¹ and 11 mmol·L⁻¹ respectively (see Fig. 4). Hence, the yield coefficients for the biomass and for the ethanol were comparable with literature data for *S. cerevisiae* (1, 3, 4 5).

After reaching the set temperature point at the beginning of the fermentation process, the temperature was well-regulated at 34 °C by means of a heating blanket. No cooling was required because of the relatively low heat production in the culture. The pH value was maintained at 5.9 by the addition of NaOH; in total approximately 20 mL of NaOH solution was added. No acid addition was required as a result of the acid formation and CO₂ accumulation in the culture.

The accumulated pumped volume leveled-off after about 6.5 h, which was an additional indication that the stationary growth phase had started. The dissolved oxygen concentration in the bioreactor was above 94% for the entire process and, therefore, oxygen limitation could be excluded. The ethanol production can be explained by the high glucose concentrations, known as the *Crabtree* effect (1, 2).




Fig. 2: Cell dry weight and total cell density.




Fig. 3: CO₂ and O₂ concentrations in the exhaust air.




Fig. 4: Concentrations of glucose, ethanol and acetate.

References

- Sonnleitner, B., & Käppli, O. (1985). *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, 28(6), 927-937.
- Rieger, M., et al. (1983). *Journal of General Microbiology*, 129(3), 653-661.
- Alfenore, S., et al. (2002). *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 60(1-2), 67-72.
- Alfenore, S., et al. (2004). *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 63(5), 537-542.
- Sonnleitner, B., & Hahnemann, U. (1994). *Journal of biotechnology*, 38(1), 63-79.